

Common moorhen

Gallinula chloropus

England, Wales & Scotland only



BASC's evidence review and recommendations for sustainable shooting

**2023–2028
Recommendation**

Research required.

Moorhen – BASC recommendation

Research required

Research required

- Breeding and wintering surveys to better understand local and national distribution and abundance.
- Submission of bag data to better inform harvest estimates (data can be submitted to the GWCT National Gamebag Census or BASC Green Shoots Bagged It).
- Shooters should support the BASC wing survey to enable better understanding of adult: juvenile harvest ratios.
- Increased ringing and ring resighting effort to improve understanding of migratory movement.

Shooting restrictions

- Should show restraint.
- Site-based considerations required.

Habitat management

- General wetland creation and management will benefit both breeding and wintering populations.
- A mosaic habitat of dense cover, open water and clearings is required to provide safe refuge and feeding areas.
- Ensure suitable breeding sites have ramp-like areas to enable chicks to leave the water easily.
- Pest and predator control.
- Refuge provision, either for set periods of time (temporal) or over dedicated areas of land (spatial), dependent on site requirements.
- Nesting support (for example: fencing, duck nest tubes, provision of maintained islands).

Stage 2 assessment

Gallinula chloropus – Common moorhen

Species summary

Moorhen are highly adaptive and flexible in their habitat use, now often associated with urban areas^{1,2}. The decline of moorhen, like that of coot, is not well understood, but given the low game bag size of the species, it is highly unlikely that hunting is driving the species decline. Habitat loss and predation are suggested to be drivers of decline, possibly impacting breeding success more than adult survival^{3,4}. Therefore wetland restoration, along with agricultural and artificial pond creation accompanied by re-vegetating and predator control, will likely benefit moorhen populations across their range.

Species conservation status (see Table 1)

The common moorhen population is showing declines across the UK and Europe. Data from the breeding population is only available for England (where the bulk of UK breeding moorhen are found) and shows a decline in breeding territories (-23.02%). The wintering population, which includes migrants from much of Europe and North Africa shows a 10-year decline (-26% between 2008/09-2018/19)⁵. This is primarily driven by declines in England, as the Scottish and Welsh populations have shown slight increases over this period (+4% and +5% respectively)⁶. The decline in Europe has not pushed the species into an 'at risk' category⁷.

	BoCC⁸ (2020)	IUCN UK⁸ (2020)	Europe⁷ (2021)	EU28⁷ (2021)	AEWA⁹ (2018)	IUCN Global¹⁰ (Last updated in 2016)
Category	A	VU	LC (B)	LC (B)	B2c	LC
Trend (time period in brackets)	Decreasing (Breeding & Wintering)		Decreasing (over 3 generations)	Decreasing (over 3 generations)	Decreasing/ Stable (2009-2018)	Stable
Population size estimate Mature individuals	Breeding: 210 000 Territories ⁵ Wintering: 305,000 Individuals ¹¹		1,790,000- 2,670,000 (min-max)	1,410,000- 1,970,000 (min-max)	2,600,000- 3,900,000 (min-max)	4,956,000- 8,400,000 (min-max)
Reason for category	Moderate breeding pop. decline over longer term.	Reduction in size of breeding pop (either abundance or range) of the population, measured over 3 generations. Declines of 20-30% over 3 generation lengths.	n/a	n/a	Population >100,000 but is need of special attention as a result of long-term decline.	Species has large range and population size. Trend is stable.
WeBS UK 10-year trend (2008/09-2018/19): -26% ⁶ • BBS UK 10-year trend (2010-2020): -20.63% ⁵						

Table 1. Species conservation status across different scales. *It has been highlighted by BASC that such automatic linkage between IUCN status and levels of protection by AEWA is directly contrary to the IUCN's advice on the use of its list.

Population dynamics

Cooperative breeding and brood parasitism are both common in moorhens^{1,3,12}. Due to moorhens high reproductive potential, they are also able to quickly colonise new habitat³. The species populations are prone to size fluctuations due their sensitivity to severe winter weather, however trends do suggest a downturn in population growth. Although moorhen are tolerant of human disturbance and readily occupy artificial waterbodies¹³⁻¹⁶, if the vegetation in these areas is heavily managed or there are high predation rates, it is likely that breeding success is impacted^{3,4}. Further studies are required to understand the drivers of moorhen population dynamics.

Hunting and harvest

The moorhen shooting seasons in the UK are not compliant with the Key Concepts of Article 7(4)¹⁷. The start date of the open season in England and Wales (1st Sept) overlaps with the estimated end of the reproduction period for moorhen in the south of the UK by almost six 'decades' (approx. 1.5 months). This is therefore in breach of the agreement. Take of moorhen is already prohibited in Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man.

There is no UK bag data available for moorhen. Similar to common coot, the species is rarely harvested and as a result there is no modern estimates of its harvest (nor the sustainability of this harvest). Harvest appears to be low in Europe but bag data is limited¹⁸. Harvest is also low across the remainder of the moorhens range, including in North America¹⁹, where there appears to be a low interest in hunting rails^{20,21}.

Moorhen show a preference for urban areas. Moorhen, like coot, are seen as synanthropic, i.e. they benefit from the artificial environments created by humans and broadly do well despite human disturbance¹³⁻¹⁶. There is no information about the impact of hunting on their behaviour and distribution.

Pressures, action and research

Pressures

The modification or loss of small, vegetated ponds, particularly in agricultural areas is likely to have impacted moorhen across Europe²². In the presence of intense bankside and pond habitat management, moorhen will nest in trees^{1,3,4}. However, if trees are absent from sites surrounding waterbodies, this may reduce survival and breeding success of moorhens. A limited number of cases of moorhen with avian influenza have been recorded. Moorhen found or inoculated with the virus did not show signs of illness but shed the virus quickly²³. This suggests that the species could spread the virus easily to species that are more susceptible to infection and mortality²³.

Practical action

Due to a limited understanding of the drivers of moorhen declines, clear practical actions cannot be recommended. However, creation and maintenance of ponds with stable water levels provide good breeding sites for moorhen^{22,24}. These ponds require emergent vegetation and tall cover on surrounding land to provide suitable nesting sites². Predator and pest control, particularly of rats and mink, will likely benefit the nesting success of moorhen³.

Research action

A large proportion of moorhen studies look at nesting behaviour, specifically cooperative breeding and nest parasitism^{1,3,12,25}. However, there is limited understanding of the movement of moorhens within the UK and across the flyway. As with coot, this species would benefit from ringing or marking programmes that allow for capture-mark-recapture studies.

References

1. Haraszthy, L. Intra- and interspecific nest parasitism of Common Moorhen (review of cases and new data). *Ornis Hungarica* vol. 26 95–101 Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.1515/orhu-2018-0007> (2018).
2. Samraoui, F., Alfarhan, A. H. & Samraoui, B. Status and breeding ecology of the Common Moorhen *Gallinula chloropus* in Algeria. *Ostrich* 84, 137–144 (2013).
3. Forman, D. W., Brain, P. F. & Brain, P. F. Reproductive strategies used by moorhens (*Gallinula chloropus*) colonising an artificial wetland habitat in South Wales. *Journal of Natural History* Article in *Journal of Natural History* <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262412829> (2004).
4. Taylor, K. The influence of watercourse management on Moorhen breeding biology. *British Birds* 77, 144–148 (1984).
5. Harris, S. J. et al. The Breeding Bird Survey 2021. BTO Research Report 745. www.bto.org (2022).
6. Frost, T. M. et al. Waterbirds in the UK 2019/20: The Wetland Bird Survey. (2021).
7. BirdLife International. European Red List of Birds. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publications>. (2021) doi:10.2779/967570.
8. Stanbury, A. et al. The status of our bird populations: the fifth Birds of Conservation Concern in the United Kingdom, Channel Islands and Isle of Man and second IUCN Red List assessment of extinction risk for Great Britain. *British Birds* 114, 723–747 (2021).
9. AEWA. Agreement on the Conservation of African–Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA) – Agreement Text and Annexes As amended by MOP8. (2022).
10. BirdLife International. *Gallinula chloropus* (amended version of 2016 assessment). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T62120190A155506651.en> (2019) doi:10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T62120190A155506651.en.
11. Woodward, I. et al. APEP 4: Population estimates of birds in Great Britain and the United Kingdom. *British Birds* 113, 69–104 (2020).
12. Gibbons, D. W. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology Brood parasitism and cooperative nesting in the moorhen, *Gallinula chloropus*. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* vol. 19 (1986).
13. Adam, M., Musilová, Z., Musil, P., Zouhar, J. & Romportl, D. Long-Term Changes in Habitat Selection of Wintering Waterbirds: High Importance of Cold Weather Refuge Sites. *Acta Ornithol* 50, 127–138 (2015).
14. Talbi, A., Samraoui, F., Samraoui, B., Zullo, F. & Battisti, C. Habitat selection of Coot (*Fulica atra*) and Moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus*) in a remnant Mediterranean wetland (Italy): Implications for conservation. *Lakes Reserv* 25, 413–418 (2020).
15. Lai, C. H., Lin, S. H., Tsai, C. Y. & Chen, S. H. Identifying farm pond habitat suitability for the Common Moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus*): A conservation-perspective approach. *Sustainability* (Switzerland) 10, (2018).
16. Wang, M., Ma, Y. fei & You, X. yi. An innovative approach to identify environmental variables with conservation priorities in habitat patches. *J Environ Manage* 292, (2021).
17. Key concepts of Article 7(4) of Directive 79/409/EEC: Period of reproduction and pre-nuptial migration of Annex II bird species in the 28 Member States. (2014).
18. Hirschfield, A. & Heyd, A. Mortality of migratory birds caused by hunting in Europe: bag statistics and proposals for the conservation of birds and animal welfare. *Ber. Vogelschutz* 42, 47–74 (2005).
19. Case, D. J. & Sanders, S. J. Priority Information Needs for American Coots, Purple Gallinules and Common Moorhens: A Funding Strategy. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usfwspubs> (2010).
20. Eddleman, W. R., Knopf, F. L., Meanley, B., Reid, F. A. & Zembal~, R. Conservation of North American rallids. *Wilson Bull* 100, 458–475 (1988).
21. Raftovich US Fish, R. v et al. Migratory Bird Hunting Activity and Harvest During the 2009 and 2010 Hunting Seasons. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usfwspubs> (2011).
22. Stichting BirdLife Europe, BirdLife International & IUCN. Article 12 EU population status assessments: Annex B – Bird species' status and trends report format (Article 12) for the period 2013 – 2018. (2020).
23. Kang, Y. et al. Pathogenicity and transmissibility of three avian influenza A (H5N6) viruses isolated from wild birds. *Journal of Infection* 76, 286–294 (2018).
24. Dale, S. Population size and trend, and habitat selection of Common Moorhens (*Gallinula chloropus*) in Oslo and Akershus, southeastern Norway. *Ornis Norv* 44, 1–11 (2021).
25. Mcrae, S. B. A Rise in Nest Predation Enhances the Frequency of Intraspecific Brood Parasitism in a Moorhen Population. Source: *Journal of Animal Ecology* vol. 66 (1997).